CS 473G: Graduate Algorithms, Spring 2007 Homework 4

Due March 29, 2007

Please remember to submit separate, individually stapled solutions to each problem.

- 1. Given a graph G with edge weights and an integer k, suppose we wish to partition the the vertices of G into k subsets S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k so that the sum of the weights of the edges that cross the partition (*i.e.*, have endpoints in different subsets) is as large as possible.
 - (a) Describe an efficient (1 1/k)-approximation algorithm for this problem.
 - (b) Now suppose we wish to minimize the sum of the weights of edges that do *not* cross the partition. What approximation ratio does your algorithm from part (a) achieve for the new problem? Justify your answer.
- 2. In class, we saw a (3/2)-approximation algorithm for the metric traveling salesman problem. Here, we consider computing minimum cost Hamiltonian *paths*. Our input consists of a graph *G* whose edges have weights that satisfy the triangle inequality. Depending upon the problem, we are also given zero, one, or two endpoints.
 - (a) If our input includes zero endpoints, describe a (3/2)-approximation to the problem of computing a minimum cost Hamiltonian path.
 - (b) If our input includes one endpoint u, describe a (3/2)-approximation to the problem of computing a minimum cost Hamiltonian path that starts at u.
 - (c) If our input includes two endpoints u and v, describe a (5/3)-approximation to the problem of computing a minimum cost Hamiltonian path that starts at u and ends at v.
- 3. Consider the greedy algorithm for metric TSP: start at an arbitrary vertex u, and at each step, travel to the closest unvisited vertex.
 - (a) Show that the greedy algorithm for metric TSP is an $O(\log n)$ -approximation, where *n* is the number of vertices. [Hint: Argue that the *k*th least expensive edge in the tour output by the greedy algorithm has weight at most OPT/(n k + 1); try k = 1 and k = 2 first.]
 - *(b) **[Extra Credit]** Show that the greedy algorithm for metric TSP is no better than an $O(\log n)$ -approximation.
- 4. In class, we saw that the greedy algorithm gives an $O(\log n)$ -approximation for vertex cover. Show that our analysis of the greedy algorithm is asymptotically tight by describing, for any positive integer n, an n-vertex graph for which the greedy algorithm produces a vertex cover of size $\Omega(\log n) \cdot \text{OPT}$.

- 5. Recall the minimum makespan scheduling problem: Given an array T[1..n] of processing times for n jobs, we wish to schedule the jobs on m machines to minimize the time at which the last job terminates. In class, we proved that the greedy scheduling algorithm has an approximation ratio of at most 2.
 - (a) Prove that for any set of jobs, the makespan of the greedy assignment is at most (2-1/m) times the makespan of the optimal assignment.
 - (b) Describe a set of jobs such that the makespan of the greedy assignment is exactly (2 1/m) times the makespan of the optimal assignment.
 - (c) Describe an efficient algorithm to solve the minimum makespan scheduling problem *exactly* if every processing time T[i] is a power of two.