CS/ECE 374 ♦ Fall 2016

→ Homework o →

Due Tuesday, August 30, 2016 at 8pm

- Each student must submit individual solutions for this homework. For all future homeworks, groups of up to three students can submit joint solutions.
- Submit your solutions electronically on the course Gradescope site as PDF files. Submit a separate PDF file for each numbered problem. If you plan to typeset your solutions, please use the FTEX solution template on the course web site. If you must submit scanned handwritten solutions, please use a black pen on blank white paper and a high-quality scanner app (or an actual scanner, not just a phone camera).
- You are *not* required to sign up on Gradescope (or Piazza) with your real name and your illinois.edu email address; you may use any email address and alias of your choice. However, to give you credit for the homework, we need to know who Gradescope thinks you are. Please fill out the web form linked from the course web page.

☞ Some important course policies **☞**

- You may use any source at your disposal—paper, electronic, or human—but you *must* cite *every* source that you use, and you *must* write everything yourself in your own words. See the academic integrity policies on the course web site for more details.
- The answer "I don't know" (and nothing else) is worth 25% partial credit on any required problem or subproblem, on any homework or exam. We will accept synonyms like "No idea" or "WTF" or "¬\(•_•)/¬", but you must write something.
- Avoid the Three Deadly Sins! Any homework or exam solution that breaks any of the following rules will be given an *automatic zero*, unless the solution is otherwise perfect. Yes, we really mean it. We're not trying to be scary or petty (Honest!), but we do want to break a few common bad habits that seriously impede mastery of the course material.
 - Always give complete solutions, not just examples.
 - Always declare all your variables, in English. In particular, always describe the specific problem your algorithm is supposed to solve.
 - Never use weak induction.

See the course web site for more information.

If you have any questions about these policies, please don't hesitate to ask in class, in office hours, or on Piazza.

1. The famous Czech professor Jiřina Z. Džunglová has a favorite 23-node binary tree, in which each node is labeled with a unique letter of the alphabet. Preorder and inorder traversals of the tree visit the nodes in the following order:

• Preorder: Y G E P V U B N X I Z L O F J A H R C D S M T

- Inorder: PEUVBGXNIYFOJLRHDCSAMZT
- (a) List the nodes in Professor Džunglová's tree in post-order.
- (b) Draw Professor Džunglová's tree.
- 2. The *complement* w^c of a string $w \in \{0,1\}^*$ is obtained from w by replacing every 0 in w with a 1 and vice versa; for example, $111011000100^c = 000100111011$. The complement function is formally defined as follows:

$$w^{c} := \begin{cases} \varepsilon & \text{if } w = \varepsilon \\ \mathbf{1} \cdot x^{c} & \text{if } w = \mathbf{0}x \\ \mathbf{0} \cdot x^{c} & \text{if } w = \mathbf{1}x \end{cases}$$

- (a) Prove by induction that $|w| = |w^c|$ for every string w.
- (b) Prove by induction that $(x \cdot y)^c = x^c \cdot y^c$ for all strings x and y.

Your proofs must be formal and self-contained, and they must invoke the *formal* definitions of length |w|, concatenation $x \cdot y$, and complement w^c . Do not appeal to intuition!

- 3. Recursively define a set L of strings over the alphabet $\{0,1\}$ as follows:
 - The empty string ε is in L.
 - For all strings x and y in L, the string 0x1y is also in L.
 - For all strings x and y in L, the string 1x0y is also in L.
 - These are the only strings in *L*.

Let #(a, w) denote the number of times symbol a appears in string w; for example,

$$\#(0,01000110111001) = \#(1,01000110111001) = 7.$$

- (a) Prove that the string 01000110111001 is in L.
- (b) Prove by induction that every string in L has exactly the same number of 0s and 1s. (You may assume without proof that #(a, xy) = #(a, x) + #(a, y) for any symbol a and any strings x and y.)
- (c) Prove by induction that L contains every string with the same number of 0s and 1s.

Each homework assignment will include at least one solved problem, similar to the problems assigned in that homework, together with the grading rubric we would apply *if* this problem appeared on a homework or exam. These model solutions illustrate our recommendations for structure, presentation, and level of detail in your homework solutions. Of course, the actual *content* of your solutions won't match the model solutions, because your problems are different!

Solved Problems

4. Recall that the *reversal* w^R of a string w is defined recursively as follows:

$$w^{R} := \begin{cases} \varepsilon & \text{if } w = \varepsilon \\ x^{R} \bullet a & \text{if } w = a \cdot x \end{cases}$$

A *palindrome* is any string that is equal to its reversal, like AMANAPLANACANALPANAMA, RACECAR, POOP, I, and the empty string.

- (a) Give a recursive definition of a palindrome over the alphabet Σ .
- (b) Prove $w = w^R$ for every palindrome w (according to your recursive definition).
- (c) Prove that every string w such that $w = w^R$ is a palindrome (according to your recursive definition).

In parts (b) and (c), you may assume without proof that $(x \cdot y)^R = y^R \cdot x^R$ and $(x^R)^R = x$ for all strings x and y.

Solution:

- (a) A string $w \in \Sigma^*$ is a palindrome if and only if either
 - $w = \varepsilon$, or
 - w = a for some symbol $a \in \Sigma$, or
 - w = axa for some symbol $a \in \Sigma$ and some palindrome $x \in \Sigma^*$.

Rubric: 2 points = $\frac{1}{2}$ for each base case + 1 for the recursive case. No credit for the rest of the problem unless this is correct.

(b) Let *w* be an arbitrary palindrome.

Assume that $x = x^R$ for every palindrome x such that |x| < |w|.

There are three cases to consider (mirroring the three cases in the definition):

- If $w = \varepsilon$, then $w^R = \varepsilon$ by definition, so $w = w^R$.
- If w = a for some symbol $a \in \Sigma$, then $w^R = a$ by definition, so $w = w^R$.
- Suppose w = axa for some symbol $a \in \Sigma$ and some palindrome $x \in P$. Then

$$w^R = (a \cdot x \cdot a)^R$$

 $= (x \cdot a)^R \cdot a$ by definition of reversal
 $= a^R \cdot x^R \cdot a$ You said we could assume this.
 $= a \cdot x^R \cdot a$ by definition of reversal
 $= a \cdot x \cdot a$ by the inductive hypothesis
 $= w$ by assumption

In all three cases, we conclude that $w = w^R$.

Rubric: 4 points: standard induction rubric (scaled)

(c) Let w be an arbitrary string such that $w = w^R$.

Assume that every string x such that |x| < |w| and $x = x^R$ is a palindrome.

There are three cases to consider (mirroring the definition of "palindrome"):

- If $w = \varepsilon$, then w is a palindrome by definition.
- If w = a for some symbol $a \in \Sigma$, then w is a palindrome by definition.
- Otherwise, we have w = ax for some symbol a and some *non-empty* string x. The definition of reversal implies that $w^R = (ax)^R = x^R a$. Because x is non-empty, its reversal x^R is also non-empty.

Thus, $x^R = by$ for some symbol b and some string y.

It follows that $w^R = bya$, and therefore $w = (w^R)^R = (bya)^R = ay^Rb$.

[At this point, we need to prove that a = b and that y is a palindrome.]

Our assumption that $w = w^R$ implies that $bya = ay^Rb$.

The recursive definition of string equality immediately implies a = b.

Because a = b, we have $w = ay^R a$ and $w^R = aya$.

The recursive definition of string equality implies $y^R a = ya$.

It immediately follows that $(y^R a)^R = (ya)^R$.

Known properties of reversal imply $(y^R a)^R = a(y^R)^R = ay$ and $(ya)^R = ay^R$.

It follows that $ay^R = ay$, and therefore $y = y^R$.

The inductive hypothesis now implies that y is a palindrome.

We conclude that *w* is a palindrome by definition.

In all three cases, we conclude that w is a palindrome.

Rubric: 4 points: standard induction rubric (scaled).

• No penalty for jumping from $aya = ay^Ra$ directly to $y = y^R$.

Rubric (induction): For problems worth 10 points:

- + 1 for explicitly considering an arbitrary object
- + 2 for a valid **strong** induction hypothesis
 - Deadly Sin! Automatic zero for stating a weak induction hypothesis, unless the rest of the proof is *perfect*.
- + 2 for explicit exhaustive case analysis
 - No credit here if the case analysis omits an infinite number of objects. (For example: all odd-length palindromes.)
 - $-\,$ -1 if the case analysis omits an finite number of objects. (For example: the empty string.)
 - -1 for making the reader infer the case conditions. Spell them out!
 - No penalty if cases overlap (for example:
- + 1 for cases that do not invoke the inductive hypothesis ("base cases")
 - No credit here if one or more "base cases" are missing.
- + 2 for correctly applying the *stated* inductive hypothesis
 - No credit here for applying a different inductive hypothesis, even if that different inductive hypothesis would be valid.
- + 2 for other details in cases that invoke the inductive hypothesis ("inductive cases")
 - No credit here if one or more "inductive cases" are missing.